

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ASIF MEHEDI, Individually and on Behalf of
All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

VIEW, INC. f/k/a CF FINANCE ACQUISITION
CORP. II, RAO MULPURI, VIDUL PRAKASH,
HOWARD W. LUTNICK, PAUL PION, ALICE
CHAN, ANSHU JAIN, ROBERT J.
HOCHBERG, CHARLOTTE S. BLECHMAN,
CF FINANCE HOLDINGS II, LLC, CANTOR
FITZGERALD & CO., CANTOR
FITZGERALD, L.P., AND CF GROUP
MANAGEMENT, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 5:21-cv-06374-BLF

CLASS ACTION

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER APPROVING
FEE AND EXPENSE APPLICATION

Judge: Hon. Beth L. Freeman

WHEREAS, this matter came before the Court on Lead Counsel's Fee and Expense Application;

WHEREAS, the Court has considered all matters submitted to it in connection with the Application, including the Declaration of Jason A. Uris filed October 2, 2025, and the exhibits thereto, and Lead Counsel's Motion and Memorandum in Support of Lead Counsel's Fee and Expense Application, filed October 2, 2025;

WHEREAS, the Court-approved form of Notice disseminated in this matter advised Settlement Class Members that Lead's Counsel intended to submit a Fee and Expense Application in which they would apply for an award of attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed 33 ¹/₃% (or \$3,666,666.67 before interest) of the Settlement Fund, and for reimbursement of litigation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$375,000, plus awards not to exceed \$10,000 to Lead Plaintiff Stadium Capital LLC and \$2,500 to named plaintiff David Sherman, respectively, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(4); and that all Settlement Class Members had the right to submit to the Court objections to the Fee and Expense Application or any portion thereof, by following the procedures set forth in the Notice;

CASE No. 5:21-cv-06374-BLF

1 WHEREAS, the Court has considered all materials submitted in connection with the Fee and
 2 Expense Application, and reviewed the relevant standards and factors for assessing the fairness and
 3 reasonableness of the requested Fee and Expense Application.

4 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

5 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and
 6 Agreement of Settlement dated April 25, 2025 (ECF No. 246-1) ("Stipulation") and all capitalized
 7 terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

8 2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the
 9 Action and all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.

10 3. Lead Counsel is hereby awarded as attorneys' fees a sum equal to 33 $\frac{1}{3}$ % (or
 11 \$3,666,666.67 before interest) of the Settlement Amount, plus \$363,336.68 in litigation
 12 expenses (both amounts to be paid from the Settlement Fund), together with any interest thereon
 13 for the same time period and at the same rate as that earned on the Settlement Fund until paid
 14 pursuant to the terms set forth in the Stipulation. The Court finds that the amount of fees hereby
 15 awarded is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, after taking into consideration (among other things):

- 16 (a) the results achieved by Lead Counsel for the benefit of the Settlement Class,
 17 notably the creation of an all-cash \$11,000,000 Settlement Fund;
- 18 (b) the significant litigation risks involved in pursuing the action, in terms of
 19 establishing both liability and damages, as well as in terms of collectability even
 20 assuming that Lead Plaintiff was to ultimately prevail on the merits at trial, such that
 21 absent the Settlement there was a high risk that Lead Plaintiff and the Settlement
 22 Class would have recovered little or nothing from the Defendants after trial;
- 23 (c) the complexity of the claims alleged, and the perseverance, diligence, and
 24 skill required from Lead Counsel;
- 25 (d) the fully contingent nature of the representation;
- 26 (e) fee awards in similar cases;
- 27 (f) the time and effort expended by Plaintiff's Counsel to the litigation and
 28 settlement of the Claims, which involved 4,170.9 hours of attorney and

(g) consideration of a “lodestar cross-check,” which indicates that the requested 33 1/3% fee (or \$3,666,666.67 before interest) equates to a negative multiplier of 0.84 on the value of Lead Counsel’s above-referenced combined lodestar; and

(h) the reaction of the Class, including that no Settlement Class Members have objected to the requested fees or expenses.

5. Such fees and expenses may be paid out of the Settlement Fund to Lead Counsel at any time after entry of this Order, notwithstanding the existence of any timely filed objections thereto, or potential for appeal therefrom, or collateral attack on the Settlement or any part thereof, PROVIDED, however, that such payments shall be subject to all of the terms, conditions and obligations (including repayment obligations) set forth in the Stipulation, which terms, conditions, and obligations are expressly incorporated herein.

7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's order approving the Fee and Expense Application shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Court's Judgment approving the Settlement, or any other judgment that may be entered in this Action.

SO ORDERED this 6th day of November, 2025.

HON. BETH L. FREEMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE