UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

2

ASIF MEHEDI, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Case No.: 5:21-cv-06374-BLF

v.

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION

VIEW, INC. f/k/a CF FINANCE ACQUISITION CORP. II, RAO MULPURI, VIDUL PRAKASH, HOWARD W. LUTNICK, PAUL PION, ALICE CHAN, ANSHU JAIN, ROBERT J. HOCHBERG, CHARLOTTE S. BLECHMAN, CF FINANCE HOLDINGS II, LLC, CANTOR FITZGERALD & CO., CANTOR FITZGERALD, L.P., AND CF GROUP MANAGEMENT, INC.,

reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This matter came on for hearing on November 6, 2025 (the "Settlement Hearing") on Lead Plaintiff's motion to approve the proposed plan of allocation ("Plan of Allocation") of the Net Settlement Fund created under the Settlement in the above-captioned class action (the "Action"). The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; it appearing that: (i) the Notice of the Settlement Hearing (which included a summary of the Settlement as well as the full text of the proposed Plan of Allocation) (the "Notice") was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort substantially in the form approved by the Court and (ii) a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *Investor's Business Daily* and over *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and

25

26

27

28

NOW, IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

- 1. This Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation of Settlement dated April 25, 2025 (ECF No. 246-1) (the "Stipulation") and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation, and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.
- 3. Notice of Lead Plaintiff's motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation was given to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable laws and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.
- 4. Copies of the Settlement Notice, which included the Plan of Allocation, were mailed to over 51,557 potential Settlement Class Members and nominees, and no objections to the Plan of Allocation have been received.
- 5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation mailed to Settlement Class Members provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.
- 6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair and reasonable to the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation proposed by Lead Plaintiff.

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION

Filed 11/06/25

Page 3 of 3